

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER	
AD077345	
CLASSIFICATION CHANGES	
TO:	unclassified
FROM:	confidential
LIMITATION CHANGES	
TO:	Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
FROM:	Distribution authorized to DoD and DoD contractors only; Foreign Government Information; 30 NOV 1983. Other requests shall be referred to The British Embassy, 3100 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20008.
AUTHORITY	
DSTL, WO 189/816, 5 Mar 2009; DSTL, WO 189/816, 5 Mar 2009	

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
AD077345
NEW LIMITATION CHANGE
TO Distribution authorized to DoD and DoD contractors only; Foreign Government Information; 30 NOV 1983. Other requests shall be referred to The British Embassy, 3100 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20008.
FROM Distribution authorized to DoD only; Foreign Government Information; 28 SEP 1955. Other requests shall be referred to The British Embassy, 3100 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20008.
AUTHORITY
British Embassy Notice dtd 30 Nov 1983

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AD

77345

Armed Services Technical Information Agency

**Reproduced by
DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER
KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO**

This document is the property of the United States Government. It is furnished for the duration of the contract and shall be returned when no longer required, or upon recall by ASTIA to the following address:
Armed Services Technical Information Agency, Document Service Center,
Knott Building, Dayton 2, Ohio.

NOTICE: WHEN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA ARE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U. S. GOVERNMENT THEREBY INCURS NO RESPONSIBILITY, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE FORMULATED, FURNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE SAID DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY IMPLICATION OR OTHERWISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERETO.

CONFIDENTIAL

7

AD No. 77345

ASTA FILE COPY

P.T.P. 488

CONFIDENTIAL

P.T.P. 488

Copy No. 76

FC

MINISTRY OF SUPPLY

DIRECTORATE OF CHEMICAL DEFENCE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

CHEMICAL DEFENCE EXPERIMENTAL ESTABLISHMENT

COGNITIVE AND EMOTIONAL CHANGES AFTER EXPOSURE TO GB

1. THIS INFORMATION IS DISCLOSED FOR OFFICIAL USE BY THE RECIPIENT GOVERNMENT. DISCLOSURE TO ANY OTHER GOVERNMENT OR RELEASE TO THE PRESS OR IN ANY OTHER WAY WOULD BE A BREACH OF THIS CONDITION.
2. THE INFORMATION SHOULD BE SAFEGUARDED UNDER RULES DESIGNED TO PROTECT THE NATIONAL DEFENCE OF SECURITY AND THE NATIONAL DEFENCE OF THE GOVERNMENT IN THE UNITED KINGDOM.
3. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD BE KEPT CLASSIFIED AND NOT DISCLOSED TO ANY OTHER DEPARTMENT WITHOUT THE PRIOR PERMISSION OF THE MINISTRY OF SUPPLY.
4. THE RECIPIENT IS WARNED THAT INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE SUBJECT TO PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS.

B. CLARKE

PORTON TECHNICAL PAPER No. 488

C.D.E.E.
Porton.
Wilts.

DEC 7 1955

CONFIDENTIAL

55 AA

54472

CONFIDENTIAL

PORTON TECHNICAL PAPER NO. 488

Copy No. 76

Date 28 SEP 1955

Cognitive and Emotional Changes after Exposure to GB

by

B. Clarke

SUMMARY

Eight men were exposed to GB vapour inhalation, at a dosage (Ct) of 14.6 mg.min/m^3 ($t = 1 \text{ min. } 57 \text{ sec.}$).

Before exposure and 5 hrs. after exposure they completed cognitive tests of verbal and performance types, and they were also required to complete questionnaires giving subjective assessments of emotional and other traits. Thirteen men were used as controls, undergoing the same procedures with the exception of the vapour exposure.

A slight fall-off in manual dexterity was observed, but this may have resulted from muscular incoordination rather than from defect of cerebration. The self-ratings of the volunteers subjected to GB vapour inhalation showed a change of mood in the direction of dysphoria.

The possible impact of mood and motivation in relation to efficiency, with particular reference to the time since exposure, is discussed.

It is suggested that most of the intellectual disabilities and lowered efficiency observed are better seen as behavioural rigidity under stress than as cognitive defects directly due to the cerebral effects of exposure.

(Sgd.) H. Cullumbine,
Supt., Medical Division.

BC/SMI.

CONFIDENTIAL

5547

54472

7

CONFIDENTIAL

Estimation of whole-blood cholinesterase from samples taken before and an hour after exposure gave the following table. (The method of estimation was that described by Aldridge and Davies (1954)).

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Before</u>	<u>After</u>	<u>Percentage Loss</u>
1	137	87	36.5 %
2	129	75	51.9
3	137	82	40.2
4	141	104	26.2
5	131	85	35.1
6	122	72	41.0
7	119	87	26.9
8	142	92	35.2

Mean percentage loss = 35.4%

Test Procedures

These consisted of three pencil and paper tests (perceptual analogies, verbal analogies, and a mirror-image test ("k-factor")); a "Medical and Personal Questionnaire" (M.P.Q.3, used before in this connection) - this was given once at the beginning: a self-rating list of 102 traits, completed twice: four Kohs' Blocks designs, three easy and one harder: and an individual dexterity test referred to as Washer Crib and described below.

(The experimental group was being tested for another purpose for simple visual and auditory reaction times and for auditory-visual discrimination reaction time. There were some technical objections to these particular measures, so the controls were not given the tests; and the changes in experimental subjects' scores cannot be profitably assessed.)

The three pencil and paper tests were presented in parallel forms before and after exposure. That is, the form was exactly the same but the actual items differed, in order to keep the task fresh. Using the same test twice, one studies differential rates of largely specific learning. Using variants, one matches up the levels of difficulty and more general learning on the control group, as an alternative guide to changes in the experimental subjects' performance.

The check on the neurotic questionnaire gave means for the two groups as: Experimental Group = 11.2; Controls = 6.5. These are both inside the normal range 0 - 15. The neurotic mean is 21, and the difference between groups is not of consequence.

RESULTS

Cognitive effects

'Cognitive' is defined here by the paper test scores just described. The comparisons were made by computing the ratio of the second control mean to the first, and then multiplying the experimental groups first scores by this factor, to obtain expected scores. These were then compared with the actual scores obtained on the parallel tests at 5 hours and at 24 hours after exposure. The table below summarises the data, from which it can be seen that actual scores tend to fall slightly below the expected level, but that

CONFIDENTIAL

Estimation of whole-blood cholinesterase from samples taken before and an hour after exposure gave the following table. (The method of estimation was that described by Aldridge and Davies (1954)).

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Before</u>	<u>After</u>	<u>Percentage Loss</u>
1	137	87	36.5 %
2	129	75	51.9
3	137	82	40.2
4	141	104	26.2
5	131	85	35.1
6	122	72	41.0
7	119	87	26.9
8	142	92	35.2

Mean percentage loss = 35.4%

Test Procedures

These consisted of three pencil and paper tests (perceptual analogies, verbal analogies, and a mirror-image test ("k-factor")); a "Medical and Personal Questionnaire" (M.P.Q.3, used before in this connection) - this was given once at the beginning: a self-rating list of 102 traits, completed twice: four Kohs' Blocks designs, three easy and one harder: and an individual dexterity test referred to as Washer Crib and described below.

(The experimental group was being tested for another purpose for simple visual and auditory reaction times and for auditory-visual discrimination reaction time. There were some technical objections to these particular measures, so the controls were not given the tests; and the changes in experimental subjects' scores cannot be profitably assessed.)

The three pencil and paper tests were presented in parallel forms before and after exposure. That is, the form was exactly the same but the actual items differed, in order to keep the task fresh. Using the same test twice, one studies differential rates of largely specific learning. Using variants, one matches up the levels of difficulty and more general learning on the control group, as an alternative guide to changes in the experimental subjects' performance.

The check on the neurotic questionnaire gave means for the two groups as: Experimental Group = 11.2; Controls = 6.5. These are both inside the normal range 0 - 15. The neurotic mean is 21, and the difference between groups is not of consequence.

RESULTS

Cognitive effects

'Cognitive' is defined here by the paper test scores just described. The comparisons were made by computing the ratio of the second control mean to the first, and then multiplying the experimental groups first scores by this factor, to obtain expected scores. These were then compared with the actual scores obtained on the parallel tests at 5 hours and at 24 hours after exposure. The table below summarises the data, from which it can be seen that actual scores tend to fall slightly below the expected level, but that

CONFIDENTIAL

this does not reach clear significance. Thus there is not a great deterioration in central cognitive functioning at 5 hours from exposure: but the fact that the 24-hour figures also are not quite up to expectation, though some recovery occurs, suggests that at least there probably was enough interference on the earlier occasion to flatten the learning curve a little, even though the figures are not unambiguous. It should be emphasised that motivation and willingness to make an effort were good during these test sessions: this is important in view of the self-ratings described below, which were not a challenge to do well, as tests are.

These findings are in line with the previous ones by the author at this dosage, using a similar set of tests, including the same kind of non-verbal G test, a perceptual analogies (not similarities) test with creative answers. Coombs and Freeman also found that performance on 6 of their Wechsler subtests were less but not significantly less than control scores for their 'higher-exposure' group. They found that two verbal tests did drop significantly: this agrees with Steinberg's (1954) finding that higher-verbal intelligence was most susceptible to a general depression of cerebral functioning - due in her cases to nitrous oxide. This result is not apparent in the present series; and Coombs' and Freeman's testing conditions - 4 hours to 2 days after an exposure of unknown severity - leave the matter open, especially as they agree on the possibility of some emotional effects operating to lower performance.

TABLE 1

Cognitive tests: Actual and Expected Means

(Experimental Group N = 8)

G = Perceptual Analogies

V = Verbal analogies

K = Mirror-image spatial relations

Trial	Before x	5 hrs. after xx	24 hrs. after xx
G: Expected	-	20.6	21.9
Actual	(18.8)	18.0	20.0
V: Expected	-	10.6	13.4
Actual	(13.1)	9.8	13.0
K: Expected	-	20.1	21.7
Actual	(16.4)	20.4	19.4

(All expected/actual differences are N/S)

x Form I of the tests) Do not compare these
xx Form II of the tests) scores directly

7

CONFIDENTIAL

Psychomotor effects (Performance Tests)

Kohs' Blocks

The Kohs' Blocks are included under this heading. In their Wechsler form, they, like the Digit Symbol sub-test, are often sensitive pointers to the existence of intellectual deterioration due to organic causes, especially when compared with verbal tests. Catts and Freeman note this, but curiously refer to both these tests in their conclusions as tests of "old, factual learning", in the same class as Wechsler verbal tests.

The Table below shows the means of both groups on the two trials. The three easy ones are averaged.

TABLE 2

Kohs Blocks

	Easy (3 designs) Means (secs)		Hard (1 design) Means (secs)	
	EXPL.	CONTR.	EXPL.	CONTR.
Trial I (or before)	19.9	25.9	72.4	69.1
Trial II (or after)	25.5	18.2	70.8	58.9
% Improved Deteriorated	-28.1%	+29.7%	+ 2.2%	+ 9.5%

An interesting point emerges here. If a temporary general intellectual decline occurs, one would expect it to be more evident in the performance on the difficult design: just as the improvement of the controls with practice is less on the hard design. But the experimental subjects fall badly on the easy designs and make a small improvement on the hard one.

This seems referable to the only change in administration between trials I and II - namely that for both groups the designs were presented on the second occasion rotated through 90°, for a similar reason to that advanced above for the paper-test variants. The controls took this in their stride and were not prevented from improvement. The exposed subjects blocked, complained of poor concentration, made false starts; but recovered by the time they came to the hard design, on which they improved a little but not so much as the controls. The last point suggests a very small decrement of competence (as the paper test results did), but the blocking, etc., only on the easy designs suggests that this is better interpreted as a reaction to a minor unexpected stress, - in kind but not degree not unlike Goldstein's "catastrophic reaction" observed among brain-damaged patients coming up against their disabilities.

Thus from the Kohs' Blocks results it seems (i) that a small decrement of ability can be supposed and (ii) that subjects had a certain rigidity of 'set' towards the task, which made them less adaptable to a minor change: put another way, more generalised learning, or transfer, was affected.

CONFIDENTIAL

This fits in with some (unpublished) experiments on rats (Watson, personal comm.), in which behavioural rigidity was a clearer guide to the effects of feeding over a period on a diet containing Systox than the results of straightforward studies of test-efficiency. In particular, slowness in the extinction of a conditioned response was noted.

Washer Crib

This is a manual dexterity test combining with a discrimination task: it has some similarity to the Purdue type of dexterity test. Briefly, the apparatus is a board about 12" x 6", with two parallel rows of 10 1" pins running lengthways, with two rows of holes running across one end. As used, the subject faced this with the pin-rows running sideways. His task was to take flat metal washers out of a box in front of him - singly, with alternate hands - and put 10 on each pin. The direction was changed between trials. After each ten he selected a wooden peg from a heap of 40 and put it into one of the holes. The pegs had a bi-coloured top and the subject had to select a given combination alternating with its complementary: e.g., red-over-yellow alternating with yellow-over-red. There were three colours in six combinations in the mixed heap. Two sizes of washer were employed - with 3/16" and 1/8" holes. Under these conditions the numbers of washers placed in 90 seconds and the numbers of correct pegs were noted; but only the former are used for calculating changes. The Table shows percentage of loss or improvement on the two trials.

TABLE 3

WASHER CRIB

Mean number of washers placed
per trial

Trial	Washers		Percentage Increase/Decrease
	I	II	
Large: EXPL.	46.9	47.9	+ 2.1%
3/16" CONTR.	51.6	57.5	+11.4
Small: EXPL.	41.2	37.5	- 9.0%
1/8" CONTR.	42.7	47.3	+10.8

Here the controls improve to the same degree on both stages of the trial. The exposed men improve to a much smaller degree on the larger washer but on the smaller ones fall off quite sharply, considering the expected improvement (which would have been to an average of 46). Visual symptoms did not seem to be incommoding them on the second trial, and motivation seemed adequate. But a slight finger incoordination was in evidence, and this may account for as much of the fall-off as cerebral sluggishness.

Mood and Personality

An attempt was made to net some of the subjective changes which accompany the after-effects of exposure.

The outward picture was as before: a degree of lethargy and open discomfort over eye symptoms, but a tendency to become better by the end of the 5 hour period, and even be a moderately cheerful group.

CONFIDENTIAL

A self-rating list was given to both sets of subjects at both trials. They were asked to check straight through a list of 102 traits (represented by 102 adjectives) and to make a self-description by marking every trait which applied to them individually. The emphasis was placed on their 'real personality' rather than on the transient mood of the moment (this was a feint); but a firm distinction was avoided, and the instructions kept as closely as possible to the simple form, "Mark every word which applies to you".

The trait-list used was special to the occasion but covered much the same wide ground as Cattell's list (Cattell, 1946; see Monro, 1954), but no headings or groupings of traits were employed. Some words were of course more familiar than others: but only one word ("curious" = inquisitive or feeling queer) was found to be ambiguous in meaning - due to the special context. (Such trait-lists are an important tool in certain factorial approaches to the study of personality).

The technique used was to study only the differences between lists completed at trials I and II. That is to say, the basic individual differences of the personalities concerned do not come into the picture: we are only interested in the effect on their self-ratings of common situational changes. (The control group here were eight of the full set of controls, those who were the best match for the exposed subjects on other grounds.

Lists were checked and note was made (a) of traits marked in the first trial but not in the second, and (b) vice-versa. Traits marked in both were not recorded, as just explained. The lists of the two groups were then compared and four "operative" lists were drawn up showing those positive (and negative) changes on which controls were more numerous than exposed subjects (and vice-versa), and by how many.

Finally, the trait-changes were arranged according as they referred to changes of mood, mental alertness, or sociability, and according to the direction of change in these categories. Table 4 gives the mood lists as an example, without frequencies; the 'Not' sections are the negative changes - traits which had dropped out.

TABLE 4
Self-Rating Changes
Mood Lists

(+) "SURGENT" (Well-being) (Confidence) (etc.)		(-) "DYSPHORIC" (Desurgency) (Depression) (Anxiety, etc.)	
<u>Control</u>	<u>Expl.</u>	<u>Control</u>	<u>Expl.</u>
Comfortable	Calm	Disgusted	Complaining Sad
Energetic	Excited		Dissatisfied Irritable
Enthusiastic	Good-tempered		Frustrated Ghastly
Gay	Relieved		Humourless Shaky
Gentle	Self-controlled		Brooding Queer
Happy		Not:	Not:
Jolly		Hearty	Amused Optimistic
Not:	Not:	Keen	Vigorous Calm
Self-pitying	Angry	Pleased	Cheerful Comfortable
	Anxious	Relieved	Content Resolute
	Brooding	Self-controlled	Vivacious Energetic
	Moody	Strong	Frivolous Gay
	Pessimistic		Self-confident Genial
	Tearful		Happy Irresponsible
	Dissatisfied		Lively Tough
	Worrying		Mischievous
	Grim		

CONFIDENTIAL

TABLE 5

Self-Rating Changes

A: Mood

	Control	Expl.	
SURGENT: (Well-being) (Confidence) (etc.)	12	19	31
DYSPHORIC: (Desurgency) (Depression) (Anxiety)	10	47	57
P = .03	22	66	88

B: Mental alertness

	Control	Expl.	
M.M. Normal	2	4	6
M.M. Reduced	2	14	16
N.S.	4	18	22

C: Sociability

	Control	Expl.	
Outgoing	5	11	16
Withdrawing	2	28	30
P = .06	7	39	46

All these tables can be seen to be of the same form, with the heaviest weighting in the lower right cell. They demonstrate a tendency for the exposed men to feel more dysphoric, less alert (though this table, which was corrected for small numbers, does not approach statistical significance), and to withdraw more.

This picture bears out much of what was observed, and fits in with evidence like that of the 1953(b) report on 'judgment' and 'aspiration' scores and their relation to self-confidence. Moreover, it clarifies the important point that the tendency to sociability and cheerfulness at the 5-hour mark

7

CONFIDENTIAL

after exposure was more apparent than real, and a sign of an individual need for support rather than of group cohesiveness. As noted earlier, there was a special effort when it came to the tests proper; and this difference tends to give more confidence in test scores as reliable cognitive measures.

Some secondary cross-currents, like a slight negative mood-change in the controls which reduced but did not mask their difference from the experimental subjects, seemed to be detectable in the self-ratings, but these were not pursued.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

1. Eight men were exposed unprotected to GB vapour, at a dosage (Ci.) of 14.6 mg.min/m³. Before and after exposure they completed verbal type and performance type cognitive tests, and psychomotor tasks, and were assessed for changes of mood, alertness, and social participation on a self-rating inventory. Control values for practice effects and other changes were provided by thirteen very similar men who carried out the same procedures but were not exposed.

2. Findings were:

- (a) that no serious central intellectual fall-off was in evidence 5 hours after exposure on any of the tests of g, v, and k factors employed;
- (b) that the Kohs' Blocks designs, sensitive to the effects of impaired brain function, showed a sharp difference between groups on the easier items and a smaller one on the harder section; and this was taken to mean (i) that there was probably a small decline in cognitive efficiency, but (ii) that the large drop in scores on easier items was in the nature of a reaction to the minor stress of an unexpected change in procedure and indicated a rigidity of mental set, such as has been noted experimentally and clinically under certain kinds of stress;
- (c) that there was a reduced improvement with practice on the manual-dexterity/discrimination task "Washer Crib" which may have been due to a slight finger incoordination, as much as to difficulties of cerebration;
- (d) that, from self-rating changes, a marked mood change of a dysphoric kind was persisting, combined with a feeling of reduced mental alertness and a tendency to social withdrawal; and that the outward signs of partial recovery of morale and group cheerfulness were superficial.

3. It is emphasised that the studies so far made, here and elsewhere, of depression of cognitive functions after exposure to moderate dosages of nerve gas agents have referred to the condition of subjects at least several hours later. By this stage changes of mood and motivation are apparently more important than cognitive disability due to organic causes - though, of course, emotional upsets can have as severe an inhibitory effect on efficiency. But it still remains unknown whether an intellectual deficit (referable to direct effects of the toxin and not merely to emotional causes) occurs between exposure and five hours. Observation of men in the field soon after dosages of this order suggests that no dramatic effect would be found. But if it is greater than is found at the five-hour mark, it might be of practical consequence in this critical period just after exposure.

(Sgd.) H. Cullumbine,
Supt., Medical Division.

CONFIDENTIAL

References

- Aldridge, W.N., Davies, D.R. (1952) Determination of cholinesterase activity in human blood. Br. med. J., 1952(1), 945-947.
- Cattell, R.B. (1946) The description and measurement of personality. London.
- Clarke, Basil (1953 a) Psychological effects of a G-agent on men. P.T.P. 322.
- Clarke, Basil (1953 b) (as above) Second report. P.T.P. 378.
- Coombs, M.Y., Freeman, G. (1954) Observations of the effects of GB on intellectual function in man. MILRR 310: U.S. Army Chem. Cent., Sept. 1954.
- Goldstein, K. (1939) The organism. New York.
- Monro, M.B. (1954) A rating scale developed for use in clinical psychiatric investigations. J. ment. Sci., 100 (420), 657-669.
- Steinberg, Hannah (1954) Selective effects of an anaesthetic drug on cognitive behaviour. Q.J. exp. Psychol., 6, 170-180.
- Wechsler, D. (1944) The measurement of adult intelligence. 3rd edn., Baltimore.

CONFIDENTIAL

P.T.P. 488

CIRCULATION

Copy No.

Copy No.

MINISTRY OF SUPPLY

Headquarters

1 P.D.S.R.(D)
2 - 7 D.C.D.R.D.
8 D.P.B.R.
9 S./C.E.P.R.E.
10 - 11 T.P.A.3/T.I.B.
12 File

R. & D. Establishments

86 - 112 C.D.E.E.
13 - 14 M.O.S. Estab./Nancekuke

CHEMICAL DEFENCE ADVISORY BOARD

15 Sir Rudolph Peters
16 S. Barratt, Esq.,
17 Professor G.R. Cameron
18 Dr. G.S. Dawes
19 Professor E.R.H. Jones
20 Professor H.W. Melville
21 Professor H.N. Rydon
22 Dr. D.D. Woods

BIOLOGY COMMITTEE

23 Dr. J.B. Barnes
24 Professor F. Dickens
25 Professor Sir Charles Lovatt Evans
26 Professor Sir Howard Florey
27 Professor J.H. Gaddum
28 Dr. W. Bentley Purchase
29 Professor Max Rosenheim
30 Professor R.H.S. Thompson
31 - 45 Secretariat, S.A.C.

BRITISH JOINT SERVICES MISSION

46 - 52 G.D. Heath, Esq., MOS Staff

WAR OFFICE

53 - 54 D.W.D. (G.S.(W)7)

OVERSEAS (through T.P.A.3/T.I.B.)

Australia

55 - 57 Defence Research Laboratories
58 Senior Representative, Dept. of
Supply
59 Army Branch Representative
60 R.A.M.F. (Tech. Section)

Canada

61 - 62 Chairman, Defence Research Board
63 - 64 Defence Research Laboratories,
Ottawa
65 Suffield Experimental Station

U.S.A.

66 - 78 Reading Panel
79 - 85 U.S. Chem. Corps Liaison Officer,
Porton

CONFIDENTIAL

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED
AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND
NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON
ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE,
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.



*Defence Technical Information Center
has checked the National Archives
[dstl] Catalogue website
State Dept
Office
of the
Director
of the
National Archives
and Records Administration
and found the document is available and
releasable to the public.*

Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC)
8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suit 0944
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218
U.S.A.

AD#: AD077345

Date of Search: 5 March 2009

Record Summary: WO 189/816

Title: Cognitive and Emotional Changes After Exposure to GB

Availability Open Document, Open Description, Normal Closure before FOI Act: 30 years

Former reference (Department) PTP 488

Held by: The National Archives, Kew

This document is now available at the National Archives, Kew, Surrey, United Kingdom.

DTIC has checked the National Archives Catalogue website (<http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk>) and found the document is available and releasable to the public.

Access to UK public records is governed by statute, namely the Public Records Act, 1958, and the Public Records Act, 1967.

The document has been released under the 30 year rule.

(The vast majority of records selected for permanent preservation are made available to the public when they are 30 years old. This is commonly referred to as the 30 year rule and was established by the Public Records Act of 1967).

This document may be treated as UNLIMITED.